**Mr. Subbanna Naik.—**

We pay heavy charges and get our lands phoded. And if the phoding is subsequently proved to be incorrect, why should we bear the charges?

**Secretary to Government, Development Department.—**

You need not pay. We will bear the charge. And we will also take action in regard to intentional wrong phoding.

**Mr. Subbanna Naik.—**

In Koppa Taluk, time has been given for filing *takrars* till the end of December 1933. Will the Government be pleased to give some more time?

**Secretary to Government, Development Department.—**

We cannot say anything off-hand.

**Mr. T. Mariappa.—**

If a person owns more than one *hisse* in one and the same survey number, will the Government be pleased hereafter to levy Rs. 1-12-0 for the first *hisse* and eight annas for subsequent ones instead of levying Rs. 1-12-0 on each of the *hisses*?

**Secretary to Government, Development Department.—**

There is provision to that effect already.

**Mr. T. Mariappa.—**

In view of the fact that uniform effect is not given to it at present, because the discretion may vary with each Deputy Commissioner, will the Government issue general orders in the matter?

**First Member of Council.—**

We shall issue a general order on the subject of the maximum to be levied from any one party.

MR. B. NARANAPPA (Sidlaghatta Taluk).—

*Q.—17.* Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Whether the abolition of railway cess from 1933-34 in the Kolar District was promised as a result of frequent representations made in this behalf?

(*b*) If so, have any orders been issued already to abolish the cess?

(*c*) If not, will the Government expedite such orders?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT.—

*A.—17.* (*a*), (*b*) and (*c*) The District Board resolved on the 24th June 1929 to abolish the levy of railway cess at the end of 1932-33 as the net income from railway was not then proposed to be utilised for the general purposes of the Board. A recommendation having been made subsequently to utilise the net income from railway for the general purposes of the Board, Government in their Order No. L. 7360-3—L.B. 173-27-61, dated the 29/30th April 1932, permitted the District Board to utilise the railway income for general purposes, but directed that levy of railway cess should be continued until sufficient funds are accumulated for meeting railway liabilities.

*Supplementary Questions and Answers.*

**Mr. G. Girimaji Rao.—**

Will the railway cess in Kolar District be abolished?

**General Secretary to Government.—**

Not until the liabilities of the District Board, *viz.*, debenture loans, are discharged.

**Mr. G. Girimaji Rao.—**

Will not the sum of Rs. 2 lakhs deposited in the Board be sufficient for the purpose?

**General Secretary to Government.—**

No. If the cess is abolished, there will be a deficit of about Rs. 70,000 when the time comes for the loan to be discharged, even with the existing deposit of Rs. 2 lakhs.

**Mr. G. Girimaji Rao.—**

Has it come to the notice of the Government that the Board has been collecting railway cess for 1932-33 and 1933-34 also, which amount has come to a lakh of rupees?

**General Secretary to Government.—**

Notice.

**Mr. S. Ramappa.—**

What is the total realisation from railway cess in the Kolar District? And, to discharge the railway liabilities, how much more money will be required ?

**General Secretary to Government.—**

Notice.

**Mr. S. Ramappa.—**

In how many years can the liability be discharged ?

**Second Member of Council.—**

Notice.

**Mr. H. Krishnamurthyachar.—**

When the programme of railway extension is still pending execution, is it justifiable to utilise the income from railway cess for other general purposes ?

**Dewan.—**

I am afraid that is not a supplementary question.

MR. CHIKMUNIAPPA (Sidlaghatta Taluk).—

*Q.—19.* Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) The number of applications received from the Sidlaghatta Taluk for the supply of electric irrigation water pumps ?

(*b*) Whether any advances have been made by such applicants ?

(*c*) The names of villages in which and the number of wells for which advances have been so paid ?

(*d*) The number of panchayets that have consented to take electric street lights ?

(*e*) How long back were these advances made ?

(*f*) What measures have so far been taken to instal pumps ?

(*g*) Whether it is possible to refund the advances to the applicants in case there is no chance of supplying electric power in the near future ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, ELECTRICAL DEPARTMENT.—

*A.—19.* (*a*) 24.